## 

1 CLEMENTE M. JIMÉNEZ, SBN 207136 428 J Street, Suite 355 2 Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 443-8055 3 4 Attorney for Defendant PABLO CASTRO MARRON 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No.: 95-235 EJG 10 Plaintiff. STIPULATION AND ORDER TO RESET 11 TRIAL CONFIRMATION HEARING 12 v. DATE: JUNE 8, 2012 13 PABLO CASTRO MARRON, TIME: 10:00 a.m. JUDGE: Hon. Edward J. Garcia 14 AS MODIFIED . . . Defendant. 15 16 17 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Assistant United States Attorney 18 William Wong, Counsel for Plaintiff, and Attorney Clemente M. Jiménez, Counsel for 19 Defendant PABLO CASTRO MARRON, that the trial confirmation hearing, currently set 20 for June 8, 2012, be vacated and the matter specially set for June 11, 2012, at 3:00 p.m. 21 for trial confirmation hearing. 22 The parties' attempts to resolve this matter are ongoing. The parties have a 23 potential resolution, with an 11(c)(1)(C) plea agreement forthcoming. Counsel will 24 require time to review the plea agreement with Mr. Marron, who is presently in custody

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that time for trial under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. Section 3161 et seq. be tolled pursuant to Section 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv), (Local code T-4), and that the ends of justice served in granting the continuance and

in Butte County Jail.

25

26

27

28

## Case 2:95-cr-00235-WBS Document 331 Filed 06/08/12 Page 2 of 3

allowing the defendants further time to prepare outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant to a speedy trial. June 7, 2012 William Wong DATED: <u>/S/</u> WILLIAM WONG Attorney for Plaintiff <u>/S/</u> Clemente M. Jiménez CLEMENTE M. JIMÉNEZ Attorney for Pablo Castro Marron 

~5895655.doc 06/07/12 - 2 -

**ORDER** 

IT IS SO ORDERED, that the currently set trial confirmation hearing be vacated and the matter continued to June 11, 2012, at 2:00 p.m. The Court finds that time under the Speedy Trial Act shall be excluded through June 11, 2012 in order to afford counsel reasonable time to prepare. Based on the parties' representations, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants to a speedy trial.

This 7th day of June, 2012

/s/ Edward J. Garcia
HON. Edward J. Garcia
United States District Judge